



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,755      | 07/16/2003  | Ke Han               | MP0246              | 1730             |

44990 7590 02/21/2007  
KENYON & KENYON LLP  
333 W. SAN CARLOS STREET  
SUITE 600  
SAN JOSE, CA 95110-2731

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

MALEK, LEILA

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2611

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

02/21/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                 |              |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|                          | 10/621,755      | HAN, KE      |  |
|                          | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|                          | Leila Malek     | 2611         |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leila Malek. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank Bernstein. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reference Cox has been discussed.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between the reference Cox and the Applicant's invention have been discussed. No agreement was reached with regards to the merits of examiners office action. Applicant's representative indicated that he would discuss the issues further with the Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**MOHAMMED GHAYOUR**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required